

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action mailed April 28, 2011 (hereinafter, "Office Action"), claim 18 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Claims 1-6 and 8-18 stand rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness type double patenting. By this paper, claim 18 is being amended.

Applicants respectfully respond to the Office Action.

I. Claim 18 Rejected Under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claim 18 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Claim 18 has been amended to include the term "non-transitory". Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claim 18 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 be withdrawn.

II. Double Patenting Rejection of Claims 1-6 and 8-18

Claims 1-6 and 8-18 stand rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,908,652. Applicants have executed a terminal disclaimer in response to this rejection. The terminal disclaimer is enclosed herewith. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims in the present application are in a condition for allowance, which is earnestly solicited. Should any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at the number provided below.

Appl. No. 09/491,727
Amdt. dated July 28, 2011
Reply to Office Action of April 28, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

/Wesley L. Austin/

Wesley L. Austin
Reg. No. 42,273
Attorney for Applicant

Date: July 28, 2011

AUSTIN RAPP & HARDMAN
170 South Main Street, Suite 735
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Telephone: (801) 537-1700